

APPROVED
at the Senate meeting
of Rīga Stradiņš University
of 21.02.2023
Minutes No. Nr. 2-S-1/3/2023

Rīga Stradiņš University
ACADEMIC INTEGRITY POLICY

1. General Provisions

- 1.1. The purpose of the Academic Integrity Policy is the adherence to academic and research integrity at Rīga Stradiņš University (hereinafter - RSU).
- 1.2. The Academic Integrity Policy explains the basic principles of academic integrity, adherence to them and the main procedures for dealing with breaches of academic integrity, thereby strengthening the academic culture, implementation of a common approach and promoting the quality improvement process at RSU.
- 1.3. RSU students, academic and administrative staff, as well as students of other higher education institutions who are acquiring a part of the joint study programme at RSU, listeners (studying outside study programmes), persons who are taking the qualification eligibility examination and other persons who develop a research paper or take an examination within the framework of the study process at RSU (hereinafter referred to as other learners) shall have an obligation to adhere to academic integrity, to prevent breaches of academic integrity and to inform about breaches of academic integrity.

2. Terms used in the document

- 2.1. **Academic integrity** – a set of fundamental values, including honesty, ethics, trust and justice, inherent in each representative of RSU staff and student, as well as other learners and that serves as a basis for decision-making and undertaking of activities in studies, research and academic environment.
- 2.2. **Academic Integrity Panel** – a committee specially established by a decree of the Rector or Vice-Rector, which considers a case of a breach of academic integrity and recommends the applicable penalty and/or other actions.
- 2.3. **Academic coursework** – work and assignments completed by students and other learners in the study process, such as final papers, placement reports, essays, reports, presentations, protocols, research, projects, doctoral theses, etc.
- 2.4. **Final Paper** – a qualification paper, research paper, Bachelor's thesis, Master's thesis.
- 2.5. **Research integrity** – constant and active adherence to ethical principles and professional standards relevant to responsible research practices.
- 2.6. **Plagiarism** – presentation of ideas derived from other sources without reference to those sources, further expression of thoughts expressed or written by another person as their own, without giving an accurate and clear reference to the author and source concerned, or repeated expression of their own thoughts that were previously made public without indicating the original source (self-plagiarism).

- 2.7. **Turnitin** – a tool for correcting written work and checking the originality of the content, which is integrated into the e-learning system and is used in RSU study programmes.

3. Principles of research integrity and adherence to them

- 3.1. Good research practice is based on fundamental principles of research integrity. They serve as guidelines governing the work of researchers and are used to address practical, ethical and intellectual issues related to research. These principles are:
- 3.1.1. Reliability in ensuring the quality of research reflected in the design, methodology, analysis and use of resources;
 - 3.1.2. Honesty in developing, undertaking, reviewing, reporting and communicating research in a transparent, fair, full and unbiased way;
 - 3.1.3. Respect for colleagues, research participants, society, ecosystems, cultural heritage and the environment;
 - 3.1.4. Accountability for the research from idea to publication, for its management and organisation, for training, supervision and mentoring and for its wider impacts.
- 3.2. RSU staff adheres to the “European Code of Conduct for Research Integrity”¹ and acts in accordance with its principles and good research practices set therein and avoids any breaches of research integrity.

4. Adherence to and promotion of academic integrity

- 4.1. Adherence to academic integrity and ethics, as well as verifying the originality of the content of academic coursework throughout the study process, are essential for promoting high academic culture and ensuring equal conditions for the assessment and recognition of learning outcomes.
- 4.2. An objective assessment of learning, educational and research outcomes is essential as it is taken into consideration in making other decisions, such as awarding scholarships, granting a tuition fee discount, participation of a student in exchange programmes, admission to next-level study programmes, recognition of non-formal education, professional experience and previously acquired education, etc.
- 4.3. RSU academic and administrative staff have an obligation to enhance students' and other learners' understanding of adherence to academic integrity and ethics, to strengthen academic integrity and to cooperate in reducing the prevalence of breaches.
- 4.4. Prevention activities shall fall into three categories:
- 4.4.1. Compulsory educational content for students:
 - 4.4.1.1. The descriptions of study courses for each study programme should include the content and learning outcomes defining, according to the field and level of studies, the required research and academic writing knowledge, skills and attitudes and adherence to the basic values and principles of academic and research integrity;
 - 4.4.1.2. The implementers of the study programme in cooperation with RSU Library should ensure sufficient availability of the information resources necessary for the full acquisition of research and academic writing knowledge, skills and attitudes included in RSU study programmes.

¹ All European Academies. 2017. *The European Code of Conduct for Research Integrity*. <https://allea.org/code-of-conduct>

- 4.4.1.3. The Director of the study programme shall annually collect the information regarding the identified breaches of academic integrity and shall take into consideration this information when reviewing the content and implementation of the study programme.
- 4.4.2. Elective educational content:
 - 4.4.2.1. RSU Library shall, as far as possible, ensure wide availability of information resources that enable students, teaching staff and researchers to acquire research and academic writing knowledge, skills and attitudes, both in a comprehensive and in-depth manner, taking into consideration the specificity of the sector.
- 4.4.3. Institutionalisation, educational activities and campaigns:
 - 4.4.3.1. RSU Human Resources Department in cooperation with RSU Centre for Educational Growth shall organise staff training;
 - 4.4.3.2. RSU staff shall adhere to and promote academic integrity and ethics, based on the Principles for the Introduction and Implementation of Academic Integrity (see Annex 1), and engage in and implement educational activities within the framework of their competence and responsibility as necessary.

5. Types of breaches of academic integrity

- 5.1. Plagiarism in academic and research work, misconduct of students, other learners, doctoral degree candidates, academic and administrative staff are regarded as breaches of academic integrity.
- 5.2. Examples of breaches of academic integrity are explained in this document in order to promote a broader understanding of the nature and significance of breaches of academic integrity.
- 5.3. Types of plagiarism:²
 - 5.3.1. **Hybrid plagiarism** – combining perfectly cited sources with copied passages without citation;
 - 5.3.2. **Clone plagiarism** – word-for-word copying of another person’s work and submitting as one’s own;
 - 5.3.3. **Aggregator plagiarism** – proper inclusion of citation and use of references, when creating a compilation of other authors’ ideas, practically not including the original content;
 - 5.3.4. **Copy-Paste plagiarism** – copying of another work or parts thereof, preserving a significant portion of the text from a single original source, without including its own set of original findings;
 - 5.3.5. **Mosaic plagiarism** – copying of multiple sources, mixing together into a single whole, without providing proper citation;
 - 5.3.6. **Incorrect citation** – non-use of citations, citation to non-existent sources, inclusion of false information in citations;
 - 5.3.7. **Self-plagiarism** – partial or complete use of one’s own previous work without citation to the original work;

² Turnitin, 2012. *Defining Plagiarism: The Plagiarism Spectrum*. <https://go.turnitin.com/paper/plagiarism-spectrum>

- 5.3.8. **Paraphrasing plagiarism** (replacement) – replacing (paraphrasing) certain words, concepts or parts of a sentence with other words/concepts, while retaining the essential content of the original text without citation;
 - 5.3.9. **Re-tweet plagiarism** – proper inclusion of citations, retaining the original wording and structure of the text too closely without repeating it in one’s own words;
 - 5.3.10. **Remix plagiarism** – ‘mixing’ sentences and texts, using various sources without giving proper citations;
 - 5.3.11. For explanatory and illustrative examples of types of plagiarism, see Annex 2 to RSU Academic Integrity Policy.
- 5.4. Examples of academically unfair and unethical conduct of students (also applicable to other learners and graduates):
- 5.4.1. use of unauthorised aids in interim and final examinations;
 - 5.4.2. copying from other students in test work;
 - 5.4.3. unequally low performance of independent work in group work tests, without specifying the amount of personal contribution;
 - 5.4.4. inaccurate analysis of research data or presentation of the results;
 - 5.4.5. transferring one’s own academic coursework or parts thereof to others, agreeing to their use without indicating the origin of the content;
 - 5.4.6. incorrect generation and presentation of research data and falsification of the results;
 - 5.4.7. use of ghost-writer (relatives, friends, acquaintances, professionals, companies, etc.) services (purchased, received as a present, stolen, etc.) for the development of academic coursework and presenting it as one’s own;
 - 5.4.8. unauthorised acquisition or publishing of test questions, assignments or answers;
 - 5.4.9. offering any material value, financial or other benefit for doing or not doing a certain activity in the academic interests of a student or another person;
 - 5.4.10. use of one’s position or personal circumstances to influence colleagues and lecturers with the aim of obtaining favourable attitude and unequal conditions;
 - 5.4.11. participation in the breach of academic integrity committed by another person, withholding or hiding information.
- 5.5. Examples of unfair conduct of academic and administrative staff;
- 5.5.1. unjustified punishment or disregard for RSU lecturers, researchers, students and other learners in cases when information on breaches of academic integrity is received;
 - 5.5.2. barriers to the circulation of information on breaches of academic integrity;
 - 5.5.3. concealment or falsification of information on breaches of academic integrity;
 - 5.5.4. non-compliance with confidentiality in collecting experts’ reports and assessments of other academic coursework, early disclosure of the examination content;
 - 5.5.5. allowing conflict of interests;
 - 5.5.6. use of students or colleagues’ academic coursework and study course materials without the author’s permission or correct citation;
 - 5.5.7. non-compliance with ethical principles in the development and presentation of the study course material;
 - 5.5.8. non-compliance with ethical principles in the design and conduct of research;
 - 5.5.9. action allowing breaches of academic integrity;
 - 5.5.10. incorrect generation and analysis of research data and presentation of the results;

- 5.5.11. use of ghost-writer (relatives, friends, acquaintances, professionals, companies, etc.) services (purchased, received as a present, stolen, etc.) for the development of study materials and research papers and presenting them as one's own;
- 5.5.12. non-recognition or incorrect conferral of intellectual property rights.

6. Consideration of breaches and decision-making

- 6.1. Methods for identifying breaches of academic integrity:
 - 6.1.1. Signs of an alleged breach of academic integrity may be identified by a lecturer of the study course, a supervisor of the semester or final paper, a member of the defence committee, a reviewer, a representative of administrative staff, other students and other persons who have information regarding the alleged breach.
 - 6.1.2. The originality and copyright of the content of the academic coursework, including final papers and doctoral theses, can be checked with the automated systems used by lecturers and RSU, such as *Turnitin* tool.
 - 6.1.3. The originality of the content of all final papers and doctoral theses should be checked in the Unified computerised inter-university plagiarism control system.
- 6.2. Factors influencing the breach for imposing penalty or taking other actions:
 - 6.2.1. In deciding on the most appropriate penalty or other actions to be taken in the event of a breach, the following shall be taken into consideration:
 - 6.2.1.1. the circumstances of the breach;
 - 6.2.1.2. the intention and attitudes of the offender.
 - 6.2.2. In the case of extenuating circumstances, it may be decided to impose a lesser penalty for the breach committed, while in the case of aggravating circumstances, a more severe penalty may be decided.
- 6.3. Action related to breaches of academic integrity by students, as well as other learners, graduates and doctoral candidates:
 - 6.3.1. Each case of a breach of the academic integrity shall be assessed in the context of all available information, therefore the breaches shall be responded taking into consideration the circumstances of the breach, the person's attitudes and explanation, if any.
 - 6.3.2. Potential action in the event of detection of the breach:
 - 6.3.2.1. lowering the assessment;
 - 6.3.2.2. assessing the performance in the examination or academic work as unsatisfactory;
 - 6.3.2.3. review of the assessment given for the interim-examination and final examination or academic work (in cases where the breach has been identified after the assessment has been given);
 - 6.3.2.4. the lecturer may set special rules for the repeated examination, if such is provided, for example, drawing up an academic paper on another topic, changing the form of the examination, etc.;
 - 6.3.2.5. withdrawal of the academic transcript (if the breach is detected after the issue of the academic transcript);
 - 6.3.2.6. cancellation of the listener's certificate (if the breach is detected after the issue of the listener's certificate);
 - 6.3.2.7. revocation of the diploma (if the breach is detected after the issue of the diploma);

- 6.3.2.8. cancellation of another document certifying education;
- 6.3.2.9. other action as appropriate under the circumstances and in accordance with RSU internal regulations.
- 6.3.3. Potential types of disciplinary penalty in the event of detection of the breach:
 - 6.3.3.1. the student may be subject to disciplinary penalty defined in the Internal Rules and Regulations for Studies: a reproof, a reprimand and exclusion;
 - 6.3.3.2. An employee may be subject to disciplinary penalty in the form of a reproof or a reprimand, as well as termination of the employment in accordance with the Rules of Procedure, the Labour Law and other laws and regulations.
- 6.4. Decision making procedure:
 - 6.4.1. Cases of breaches of academic integrity at RSU shall be considered in accordance with the present Policy, Internal Rules and Regulations for Studies, relevant Academic Regulations and process description governing the procedure for verifying originality of students' final papers, scientific research papers of medical residents and doctoral theses and the procedure for monitoring academic integrity, Code of Ethics and other laws and regulations. A detailed procedure for the examination of breaches of academic integrity shall be established by Rector's decree.
 - 6.4.2. In such cases, the Rector or Vice-Rector shall establish a special Academic Integrity Panel to examine the alleged breach of academic integrity and, on the basis of all available information, to recommend the appropriate form of penalty and further action (see Annex 3):
 - 6.4.2.1. for the examination of the alleged breach committed by the academic and administrative staff;
 - 6.4.2.2. for the examination of the breach committed by a student; if the alleged breach is complex, it requires in-depth assessment, additional information or the involvement of experts from RSU or other institutions.
 - 6.4.3. The decision on revocation of an educational document in the event of a breach of academic integrity shall be taken by the Rector.
 - 6.4.4. Possible fraudulent activities and breaches of academic integrity by the academic and administrative staff shall be considered by RSU Ethics Committee.

7. Annexes

- 7.1. Annex 1 "Principles for the Introduction and Implementation of Academic Integrity and Ethics"
- 7.2. Annex 2 "Examples of Types of Plagiarism"
- 7.3. Annex 3 "Procedure for the Establishment and Operation of the Academic Integrity Panel"

Chair of the Senate

J. Gardovskis

N. Jansone-Ratinika, 67061581
Nora.Jansone-Ratinika@rsu.lv

D. Zvidriņa, 67409093
Dagnija.Zvidrina@rsu.lv

AGREED

at the Rectorate meeting
of Rīga Stradiņš University
of 06.02.2023
Minutes No 1-PB-1/6/2023

AGREED

at the Deans' Council meeting
of Rīga Stradiņš University
of 06.02.2023
Minutes No. 4-SD.1-2/5/2023

Principles for the Introduction and Implementation of Academic Integrity and Ethics

Adherence to the principles for the introduction and implementation of academic integrity and ethics shall be binding on all staff involved in the study process and research. They are attributed to academic, research and administrative activities.

Prevention

In study programmes, students shall acquire knowledge of issues related to academic integrity and ethics, as well as the skills to apply them in both academic and professional activities.

The content and issues inviting students to evaluate and reflect on honesty, ethics, social norms and moral dilemmas in the context of the sector have to be included in the study courses in an integrated way.

Students shall be constantly informed about the adherence to academic integrity and ethics.

Prior to the study examinations, students shall be informed about the procedure of examinations, authorised and unauthorised aids, as well as the consequences in case of breaches.

When submitting each academic coursework, students shall certify their originality and copyright.

(Co)Responsibility

It is the responsibility of everyone in the academic environment to adhere to the principles of academic integrity and ethics.

It is the responsibility of everyone to prevent breaches and to report alleged breaches.

Checking the originality of the content of academic coursework shall be a constantly implemented activity in examinations.

Justice

A person suspected of an alleged breach of academic integrity shall have the right to explain the circumstances of the event, including to request that the dispute is handled on an independent and conflict-free environment.

A student who has committed a breach of academic integrity may gain advantages; misconduct must not be the basis for achieving higher results and obtaining privileges (a state-funded study place, scholarship, tuition fee discount, statements of excellence, etc.).

Proportionality

The penalty for a breach shall be proportional to the severity of the breach, and extenuating and aggravating circumstances.

In the case of repeated breaches, more severe penalties may be imposed. Information on breaches shall be stored so that this information is available to make binding decisions.

Consistency

Adherence to academic integrity shall be essential throughout the study process, therefore the academic coursework and conduct of students shall be appropriately evaluated; penalties shall be imposed in case of breaches.

In the case of similar breaches, equivalent penalties shall be imposed.

Retention/Storage

Academic coursework of students shall be retained so that their content is available for comparison in content originality checks, and thus the dishonest use of academic coursework is avoided.

Examples of Types of Plagiarism

Hybrid plagiarism – combining perfectly cited sources with copied passages without citation;

Example:

| <u>Original</u> | <u>Plagiarism</u> |
|---|---|
| <p>What will compulsory health insurance mean for the inhabitants of Latvia? Uldis Rutkaste, 19.07.2016, Ir.lv</p> <p>On 14 July, the Bank of Latvia published the concept for the introduction of compulsory health insurance. In it, we offer to attract additional funds to health care, while creating elements of competition within the system. Competition between the public insurer and private insurers would, over time, promote a more efficient and effective use of available funds, and improve the availability and quality of healthcare services.</p> <p>“On the nightmarish health insurance model of the Bank of Latvia and illusions in medicine”, Pēteris Apinis, 12.09.2016, Delfi.lv</p> <p>The model proposed by the Bank of Latvia, with a compulsory health insurance payment, actually provides for a linear increase in personal income tax (PIT), significantly reducing its insignificant progressiveness in the PIT system of Latvia, and is obviously in contradiction to the recommendations of the World Bank.</p> | <p>Evaluation of the compulsory health insurance model. Jānis Bērziņš</p> <p>On 14 July, the Bank of Latvia published the concept for the introduction of compulsory health insurance. It offers to attract additional funds to health care, while creating elements of competition within the system. According to Pēteris Apinis, the President of the Latvian Medical Association, the model proposed by the Bank of Latvia with a compulsory health insurance payment “actually provides for a linear increase in personal income tax (PIT), significantly reducing its insignificant progressiveness in the PIT system of Latvia, and is obviously in contradiction to the recommendations of the World Bank.” (Apinis, 2016). However, the Bank of Latvia insists that the competition between the public insurer and private insurers would, over time, promote a more efficient and effective use of available funds, and improve the availability and quality of healthcare services.</p> |

Clone plagiarism – word-for-word copying of another person’s work and submitting as one’s own;

Example:

| <u>Original</u> | <u>Plagiarism</u> |
|---|--|
| <p>What will compulsory health insurance mean for the inhabitants of Latvia? Uldis Rutkaste, 19.07.2016, ir.lv</p> | <p>Evaluation of the compulsory health insurance model. Jānis Bērziņš</p> |

On 14 July, the Bank of Latvia published the concept for the introduction of compulsory health insurance. In it, we offer to attract additional funds to health care, while creating elements of competition within the system. Competition between the public insurer and private insurers would, over time, promote a more efficient and effective use of available funds, and improve the availability and quality of healthcare services.

On 14 July, the Bank of Latvia published the concept for the introduction of compulsory health insurance. In it, we offer to attract additional funds to health care, while creating elements of competition within the system. Competition between the public insurer and private insurers would, over time, promote a more efficient and effective use of available funds, and improve the availability and quality of healthcare services.

Aggregator plagiarism – proper inclusion of citation and use of references, when creating a compilation of other authors' ideas, practically not including the original content;

Example:

Original

What will compulsory health insurance mean for the inhabitants of Latvia? Uldis Rutkaste, 19.07.2016, Ir.lv

On 14 July, the Bank of Latvia published the concept for the introduction of compulsory health insurance. In it, we offer to attract additional funds to health care, while creating elements of competition within the system. Competition between the public insurer and private insurers would, over time, promote a more efficient and effective use of available funds, and improve the availability and quality of healthcare services.

“On the nightmarish health insurance model of the Bank of Latvia and illusions in medicine”, Pēteris Apinis, 12.09.2016, Delfi.lv

The model proposed by the Bank of Latvia, with a compulsory health insurance payment, actually provides for a linear increase in personal income tax (PIT), significantly reducing its insignificant progressiveness in the PIT system of Latvia, and is obviously in contradiction to the recommendations of the World Bank.

Plagiarism

Evaluation of the compulsory health insurance model. Jānis Bērziņš

“On 14 July, the Bank of Latvia published the concept for the introduction of compulsory health insurance. In it, we offer to attract additional funds to health care, while creating elements of competition within the system. Competition between the public insurer and private insurers would, over time, promote a more efficient and effective use of available funds, and improve the availability and quality of healthcare services.”¹ Whereas Pēteris Apinis points out that “the model proposed by the Bank of Latvia with compulsory health insurance payment actually provides for a linear increase in personal income tax (PIT), significantly reducing its insignificant progressiveness in the PIT system of Latvia, and is obviously in contradiction to the recommendations of the World Bank.”²

¹ Rutkaste, Uldis. “What will compulsory health insurance mean for the inhabitants of Latvia?” 19.07.2016, Ir.lv

² Apinis, Pēteris. “On the nightmarish health insurance model of the Bank of Latvia and illusions in medicine” 12.09.2016, Delfi.lv

Copy-Paste plagiarism – copying of another work or parts thereof, preserving a significant portion of the text from a single original source, without including its own set of original findings;

Example:

| <u>Original</u> | <u>Plagiarism</u> |
|--|---|
| <p>What will compulsory health insurance mean for the inhabitants of Latvia? Uldis Rutkaste, 19.07.2016, ir.lv</p> <p>On 14 July, the Bank of Latvia published the concept for the introduction of compulsory health insurance. In it, we offer to attract additional funds to health care, while creating elements of competition within the system. Competition between the public insurer and private insurers would, over time, promote a more efficient and effective use of available funds, and improve the availability and quality of healthcare services.</p> | <p>Evaluation of the compulsory health insurance model. Jānis Bērziņš</p> <p>On 14 July 2016, the Bank of Latvia published the model for the introduction of compulsory health insurance. The model offers to attract additional funds to health care, while creating elements of competitions within the system, allowing competition between the public insurer and private insurers. In the long run, it is expected to contribute to a more efficient and effective use of available funds, and improve the availability and quality of healthcare services.</p> |

Mosaic plagiarism – copying of multiple sources, mixing together into a single whole, without providing proper citation;

Example:

| <u>Original</u> | <u>Plagiarism</u> |
|--|---|
| <p>What will compulsory health insurance mean for the inhabitants of Latvia? Uldis Rutkaste, 19.07.2016, Ir.lv</p> <p>On 14 July, the Bank of Latvia published the concept for the introduction of compulsory health insurance. In it, we offer to attract additional funds to health care, while creating elements of competition within the system. Competition between the public insurer and private insurers would, over time, promote a more efficient and effective use of available funds, and improve the availability and quality of healthcare services.</p> <p>“On the nightmarish health insurance model of the Bank of Latvia and illusions in</p> | <p>Evaluation of the compulsory health insurance model. Jānis Bērziņš</p> <p>On 14 July, the Bank of Latvia published the concept for the introduction of compulsory health insurance. It offers to attract additional funds to health care, while creating elements of competition within the system. Thus, the model proposed by the Bank of Latvia, with a compulsory health insurance payment, actually provides for a linear increase in personal income tax (PIT), significantly reducing its insignificant progressiveness in the PIT system of Latvia, and is obviously in contradiction to the recommendations of the World Bank. However, the Bank of Latvia</p> |

medicine”, Pēteris Apinis, 12.09.2016, Delfi.lv

The model proposed by the Bank of Latvia, with a compulsory health insurance payment, actually provides for a linear increase in personal income tax (PIT), significantly reducing its insignificant progressiveness in the PIT system of Latvia, and is obviously in contradiction to the recommendations of the World Bank.

insists that the competition between the public insurer and private insurers would, over time, promote a more efficient and effective use of available funds, and improve the availability and quality of healthcare services. (Rutkaste 2016, Apinis 2016).

Self-plagiarism – partial or complete use of one’s own previous work without citation to the original work;

Example:

Original

Analysis of hospital management. Jānis Bērziņš, an abstract for the conference of Liepāja University

Introduction. In Latvia, health care in general and individual hospitals have been experiencing significant changes over the last decades. As technology develops and the demographic situation changes, the requirements for the quality, intensity and cost-effectiveness of treatment in hospitals are increasing. This makes it necessary to significantly change the current hospital management system by taking over the process and customer-oriented management and work organization systems successfully applied in other sectors.

The aim of the study is to look into the management processes in hospitals of Latvia, assessing the differences in the clinical process in large regional hospitals of Latvia and determining the most significant differences in the management of professional activities of doctors in those hospitals, the medical performance of which differs the most.

Plagiarism

Evaluation of hospital management processes. Jānis Bērziņš, an abstract for the conference of Daugavpils University

Introduction. In Latvia, health care in general and individual hospitals have been experiencing significant changes over the last decades. As technology develops and the demographic situation changes, the requirements for the quality, intensity and cost-effectiveness of treatment in hospitals are increasing. This makes it necessary to significantly change the current hospital management system by taking over the process and customer-oriented management and work organization systems successfully applied in other sectors.

The aim of the study is to look into the management style and decisions in hospitals of Latvia, assessing the differences in the clinical process in large regional hospitals of Latvia and determining the most significant differences in the management of professional activities of doctors in those hospitals, the medical performance of which differs the most.

Paraphrasing plagiarism - replacing (paraphrasing) certain words, concepts or parts of a sentence with other words/concepts, while retaining the essential content of the original text without citation;

Example:

| <u>Original</u> | <u>Plagiarism</u> |
|--|---|
| <p>What will compulsory health insurance mean for the inhabitants of Latvia? Uldis Rutkaste, 19.07.2016, ir.lv</p> <p>On 14 July, the Bank of Latvia published the concept for the introduction of compulsory health insurance. In it, we offer to attract additional funds to health care, while creating elements of competition within the system. Competition between the public insurer and private insurers would, over time, promote a more efficient and effective use of available funds, and improve the availability and quality of healthcare services.</p> | <p>Evaluation of the compulsory health insurance model. Jānis Bērziņš</p> <p>The Bank of Latvia recently outlined the concept for the introduction of compulsory health insurance. It offers to attract additional funds to health care, while creating a competition between several participants. Competition between the public insurer and private insurers would in the long run promote a more efficient and cost-effective use of available funds, and achieve the availability and quality of healthcare services.</p> |

Re-tweet plagiarism – proper inclusion of citations, retaining the original wording and structure of the text too closely without repeating it in one's own words;

Example:

| <u>Original</u> | <u>Plagiarism</u> |
|--|---|
| <p>Introduction</p> <p>Polycystic ovary syndrome is a proinflammatory¹ and atherogenic² disorder with an estimated prevalence of 4% to 13% among women of reproductive age.^{3,4} This syndrome is the main cause of hyperandrogenism and oligo-anovulation and is normally associated with clinical and metabolic comorbidities.⁵⁻⁷ Women with PCOS may anticipate to the fourth decade of life the onset of the traditional risk factors for cardiovascular disease (CVD)⁸, such as systemic arterial hypertension (SAH), obesity, dyslipidemia, metabolic syndrome, and...</p> | <p>Introduction</p> <p>According to the study of Dewailly of 2009 on polycystic ovary syndrome (PCOS), polycystic ovary syndrome is caused by inflammatory and atherogenic disorders, which affect 4% to 13% of women of reproductive age. This syndrome is the primary cause of hyperandrogenism and oligo-anovulatory infertility and is normally associated with two chronic clinical and metabolic comorbidities. In women with PCOS in their fourth decade of life, the traditional risk of cardiovascular disease (CVD), such as systemic arterial hypertension (SAH), obesity, dyslipidemia, metabolic syndrome and..., increases (Dewailly 2009)</p> |

Remix plagiarism – ‘mixing’ sentences and texts, using various sources without giving proper citations;

Example:

Original

What will compulsory health insurance mean for the inhabitants of Latvia? Uldis Rutkaste, 19.07.2016, ir.lv

On 14 July, the Bank of Latvia published the concept for the introduction of compulsory health insurance. In it, we offer to attract additional funds to health care, while creating elements of competition within the system. Competition between the public insurer and private insurers would, over time, promote a more efficient and effective use of available funds, and improve the availability and quality of healthcare services.

“On the nightmarish health insurance model of the Bank of Latvia and illusions in medicine”, Pēteris Apinis, 12.09.2016, Delfi.lv

The model proposed by the Bank of Latvia, with a compulsory health insurance payment, actually provides for a linear increase in personal income tax (PIT), significantly reducing its insignificant progressiveness in the PIT system of Latvia, and is obviously in contradiction to the recommendations of the World Bank.

Plagiarism

Evaluation of the compulsory health insurance model. Jānis Bērziņš

Health care in Latvia has suffered from chronic underfunding. At present, the Bank of Latvia has published the concept for the introduction of compulsory health insurance, offering to attract additional funds to health care, while creating elements of competition within the system. However, the proposed model with a compulsory health insurance payment rather provides for a linear increase in personal income tax (PIT), thus significantly reducing its insignificant progressiveness in the PIT system of Latvia, and is obviously in contradiction to the recently published recommendations of the World Bank. However, the Bank of Latvia continues to insist that the competition between the public insurer and private insurers would, over time, promote a more efficient and effective use of available funds, and improve the availability and quality of healthcare services. However, it is difficult to imagine exactly how this would help to address systemic problems in health care.

Procedure for the Establishment and Operation of the Academic Integrity Panel

1. General Provisions

- 1.1. The academic integrity Panel is a collegial body established by the Rector or Vice-Rector for an in-depth examination (*ad hoc*) of a complex case of alleged breach, to consider the case of alleged breach and make a recommendation. The Panel shall consist of at least three panel members.

2. Establishment of the Academic Integrity Panel

- 2.1. The following persons may ask the Rector and Vice-Rector to decide on the establishment of a special Academic Integrity Panel:
 - 2.1.1. a lecturer, a supervisor of the academic coursework or committee for the assessment of the work, under the supervision of which the student or other learner has committed an alleged breach;
 - 2.1.2. the Director of the relevant study programme;
 - 2.1.3. the Head of the relevant department;
 - 2.1.4. the Dean of the relevant faculty;
 - 2.1.5. a student or other person suspected of the breach of academic integrity.
- 2.2. The Vice-Rector shall decide by decree on the need to establish a special Academic Integrity Panel in the following cases:
 - 2.2.1. in all cases specified in the Academic Integrity Policy, except in cases within the competence of the Rector;
 - 2.2.2. if one of the persons evaluating alleged breaches and taking decisions, i.e., a lecturer, Head of the academic department, the Dean or Vice-Rector has risks of conflict of interest with the person involved in the alleged breach;
- 2.3. The Rector shall decide by decree on the establishment of a special Academic Integrity Panel, if the RSU has received information about facts or has itself established facts indicating an alleged serious breach of academic integrity after the issue of a diploma, academic transcript, listener's certificate or other document certifying education.
- 2.4. The Rector or Vice-Rector, when determining the composition of the Academic Integrity Panel, shall include the following persons in the Panel as necessary:
 - 2.4.1. a lecturer, supervisor of the academic coursework or member of the committee for the assessment of the work;
 - 2.4.2. a placement supervisor;
 - 2.4.3. a representative of the relevant science sector or thematic area of education;
 - 2.4.4. a representative of the Student Union;
 - 2.4.5. a representative of RSU administrative staff.

3. Rights and responsibilities of the Academic Integrity Panel

- 3.1. The Academic Integrity Panel shall have the following rights:
 - 3.1.1. to examine all available information regarding the alleged breach of academic integrity;

- 3.1.2. to request additional information from RSU staff, as well as from other institutions and organizations;
- 3.1.3. to invite a specialist (s) for an in-depth examination of the case.
- 3.2. The Academic Integrity Panel shall have the following responsibilities:
 - 3.2.1. to prepare and submit to the Rector or Vice-Rector an opinion on the breach; make a proposal on the most appropriate type of penalty to be applied to the student and other actions to be taken, taking into consideration and taking into account the following, as appropriate:
 - 3.2.1.1. internal rules and regulations of RSU;
 - 3.2.1.2. the severity of the breach;
 - 3.2.1.3. the circumstances of the breach and the attitudes of the student;
 - 3.2.1.4. an explanation of the situation by the lecturer, supervisor of the academic coursework, a member of the committee for the assessment of the work;
 - 3.2.1.5. an explanation by the other persons involved in the breach of the academic integrity, including victims, if any;
 - 3.2.1.6. an explanation of the situation by the student, if such has been received;
 - 3.2.1.7. information available in the systems used by RSU;
 - 3.2.1.8. information regarding breaches of academic integrity previously identified for the student;
 - 3.2.1.9. other essential information.
 - 3.2.2. to maintain confidentiality and observe personal data protection requirements in its activities in accordance with the provisions of RSU.

4. Organisation of the Work of the Academic Integrity Panel and Decision-Making

- 4.1. The work of the Academic Integrity Panel shall be organised in meetings. The meeting shall be chaired by the Chairperson of the Panel; the Deputy Chairperson of the Panel shall chair the meeting in the absence of the Chairperson of the Panel. Minutes shall be taken of the Panel meetings.
- 4.2. The Academic Integrity Panel shall have a quorum if more than half of the members of the Panel participate in its meetings.
- 4.3. The Academic Integrity Panel shall take decisions by a simple majority in an open vote. If there is a tie, the Chairperson of the Panel shall have the casting vote.
- 4.4. The Academic Integrity Panel shall consider the alleged breach of academic integrity and shall make a decision (in the form of an opinion) as soon as possible, but not later than within two weeks, unless the Rector or Vice-Rector extends this term. The Panel shall submit the adopted decision to the Vice-Rector or Rector for a final decision.