

Annex 3
Rīga Stradiņš University
Regulation on the Selection, Implementation
and Monitoring of DOCTORAL grants
(Additional selection)

Evaluation criteria

Doctoral grant applicant _____ first name last name

	Criteria	Indicators	Points	Supporting documents	Rated
Scientific publications on the topic of the thesis	Scientific publications included in the international databases Web of Science, SCOPUS, PubMed, ERIH+ in the last 5 years on the topic of the doctoral thesis, if the applicant is the first author	Number of points per unit	6	Copies of publications	
	Scientific publications included in the international databases Web of Science, SCOPUS, PubMed, ERIH+ in the last 5 years on the topic of the doctoral thesis, if the applicant is a co-author	Number of points per unit	4	Copies of publications	
	Scientific publications included in EBSCO, Index Copernicus International databases and Open access publications in the last 5 years on the topic of the thesis	Number of points per unit	2	Copies of publications	
	International patents	Number of points per unit	3	Copies of patents, contracts (reg. numbers)	
	Latvian patents	Number of points per unit	3	Patents, contracts, copies (reg. numbers)	
Work plan	Work plan for 12 months	Poorly drafted, significant gaps, no information provided to degree applicants on compliance with Clause 9.2 of the Terms of Reference.	0	Evaluated by the Board	
		Some inaccuracies, no assurance that the plan can be fully implemented.	3		
		Fully developed, with detailed and sequenced information in each section of the plan, and planned actions to be delivered over a 12-month period.	6		
Additional	Doctoral student or a doctoral degree candidate who is concurrently employed in an academic position or research project at RSU or RSU LASE	Not eligible	0	Evaluated by APD together with the HR Department	
		Compatible with	2		
Total points:					